27-29 May 2024 🎟

imdis

International conference on Marine Data and Information Systems





IMDIS 2024 - Bergen (Norway), 27-29 May 2024

Broad ranges for quality control of coastal data related to marine eutrophication: the Mediterranean Sea case study

<u>Marina Lipizer</u>¹, Maria Eugenia Molina Jack¹, Megan Anne French¹, Alessandra Giorgetti¹, Athanasia Iona² and Marilena Tsompanou²

¹ OGS Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale (Italy)
² HCMR Hellenic National Oceanographic Data Centre (Greece)











NATIONAL BIODIVERSITY FUTURE CENTER



🔿 iMagine 🚺

General background: Marine eutrophication

Eutrophication: "a process driven by enrichment of water by nutrients (...especially nitrogen and/or phosphorus), leading to: ... water quality degradation.

Is one of the 11 descriptors of environmental status according to **EU MSFD**, and one of the ecological indicators assessed by Regional Sea Conventions

May cause **biodiversity alterations** and adversely affect food-web integrity

The Mediterranean Sea

- Is regarded as an "oligotrophic (low nutrients & chlorophyll)" basin
- However, it is characterized by several well documented **eutrophic** areas (e.g. North Adriatic Sea)
- Eutrophic areas are close to the **<u>coast</u>** (due to continental inputs, land-sea interactions, human activities)
- *In eutrophic areas nutrient concentrations can reach very high levels in comparison with open waters*







Quality control:

- The assessment of spatial and temporal trends of marine eutrophication requires validated data collected over years by multiple, sometimes heterogeneous, sources
 Data collection
- Data **Quality Control**: a fundamental step of data management

Standard steps of data Quality Control:

On metadata:

- Date and time
- Latitude and longitude (position not on land)

However:

Regional ranges may not be suitable for coastal data, due to their natural high variability

On data:

- Null/missing values
- Range check:
 - global
 - regional
- Spikes
- Vertical stability and bottom depth
- Comparison with climatology

... to verify if data lie within expected extremes encountered in the oceans, in a particular region or period (SDN, 2010; U.S. Integrated Ocean Observing System V2.1, 2018; Garcia et al., 2024 – World Ocean Atlas)



https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.583657





Broad ranges for quality control of coastal data related to marine eutrophication: the Mediter

The Mediterranean – North Adriatic case study



Profile-type - all data – before «regional range» check*:

*Regional range for Nitrate in DJ1 - North Adriatic, 0-200m depth: 0 – 16.0 µmol/l







Purpose of this work:

evaluate ranges of biogeochemical parameters in **coastal** waters to help data validation using data accessible through EMODnet Chemistry. Need of area-specific ranges.

Approach:

- Need of definition of transitional, coastal, territorial and open waters using a common and standard approach at Mediterranean scale (EU WFD, 2000 & MSFD, 2008):
- Due to unavailability of official "coastal waters" and "transitional waters" for the whole basin, analysis limited to the MSFD region. All available data have been used for open waters
- □ To support the EU directives: analysis at MSFD sub-basin scale (Western Med, Adriatic Sea, Ionian Sea and Central Med, Aegean and Levantine Sea)





Broad ranges for quality control of coastal data related to marine eutrophication: the Medite

Filtering different waters from the EMODnet Chemistry Mediterranean Sea aggregated collection:



- EEA marine assessment areas buffer zones (2022)
- WISE WFD Reference Spatial Datasets reported under Water Framework Directive 2022 (2023)





Merged shapefile (with new attribute: transitional-coastal-territorial waters)

 Maritime Boundaries Geodatabase: Territorial Seas (12NM) (2023)



4 datasets with an **additional metavariable:** water type (transitional, coastal, territorial, other)



Ocean Data View

Aggregated ODV collections with the additional metavariable for the surface water type that allows filtering stations. In addition: the datasets have been analysed by depth range (0-74.99; 75-199.99; +200)

Broad ranges for quality control of coastal data related to marine eutrophication: the Mediterranean Sea case study

Analysis on following datasets:

4 MSFD subregions:

- □ Adriatic;
- □ Aegean-Levantine;
- **Central and Ionian;**
- Western Med



4 water types:

- transitional
- coastal
- territorial
- open

3 depth ranges:

- **0-74.99 m**;
- □ 75-199.99 m;

OGS

□ > 200 m



Selected variables:

- nitrate
- nitrite
- ammonium
- phosphate
- silicate
- **u** ...

For each dataset:

- Considering all data (regardless of Quality Flag QF)
- Considering QF 1, 2, 6, Q
 - ≻ min
 - ≻ Max
 - ≻ mean
 - standard deviation
 - ➤ median
 - ➤ 25 75 99th percentile

Comparison with existing "upper ranges" (maxima per sub-basin):

	0-200 m	0-200 m	0-200 m
	Nitrate (umol/L)	Phosphate (umol/L)	Silicate (umol/L)
Maximum Western Med	50	2	40
Maximum Central Med	9	1	10
Maximum Adriatic	16	1,5	60
Maximum Aegean	7	7	20

Broad ranges for quality control of coastal data related to marine eutrophication: the Mediterranean Sea case study

Results:

Nitrate - comparison of statistics of all data (regardless of Quality Flag) and

data with QF 1, 2, 6 and Q



- Walidated data" and «all data» do not show remarkat differences (median and 99° percentile)
- → Remarkable differences only observed in maxima in the Adriatic and Western Med (0-75m)
- → Highest concentrations (99th percentile & maxima) are always observed in the upper 0-75 m



Broad ranges for quality control of coastal data related to marine eut



■ QF1,2,6,Q ■ All QF

Results:

Nitrate and current upper ranges for data quality control

Open waters:



46.0 42.0 38.0 38.0 30.0 42.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 54.0 55.0 52.0 55.0 52.0 55.0 52.0 55.0 52.0 55.0 52.0 55.0

Upper ranges:

Nitrate (umol/L) 0-200 m	Median	99 th percentile	Max
Western Med	9	46	50
Central Med	9	9	9
Adriatic	9	16	16
Aegean	5	7	7

- → Current regional ranges (median) capture 99% of data variability of open waters
- → Current regional ranges are generally suitable for data QC for open water



Results: Nitrate and current upper ranges for data quality control

Coastal waters:



Water body nitrate [umol/l] @ Depth [m]=first



→ most of variability in the 0-75 m

- → Even the highest upper ranges per sub-basin will not fit a large part of data in the upper layer concentrations in coastal waters
- → Ranges too low for the Aegean-Levantine also >75m
- → Data exceeding Upper ranges would be coded as "bad data"



MSFD reports confirm



Results: Other nutrients:

Coastal waters:



- → most of variability in the 0-75 m
- → Only in the Western Med upper layer there is a significant difference between all data and QC data
- → Phosphate: upper variability exceeds upper range in the Ionian and Western Med
- → Silicate: upper variability exceeds upper range in the whole Med except the Adriatic

 \rightarrow Clear need of revised «regional ranges» for coastal waters

Proposal of selection of upper concentrations to guide data Quality Control:

Given the not normal data distribution:



99th percentile as QF1 limit (data < 99th percentile are QF1; data > 99th percentile are QF2)

Max as QF2 limit (data > Max are QF3)



Main outcomes:

Considering the statistics of the major nutrients (nitrate, phosphate and silicate) in coastal and open waters (data analysed but not presented here):

- → existing concentration ranges used for data QC are adequate to validate open water data
- → For coastal waters, especially in the 0-75 m depth, higher upper ranges should be adopted for nitrate, silicate, and in the Central and Western Mediterranean also for phosphate
- → This work indicates the importance of dedicated ranges for upper layers (new)
- → Clearly different concentrations in the 0 74.99 m and 75 199.99 m layers
- → Comparison with published data (MSFD report Italy) supports the correctness of "out of range" data
- → Concentration maxima need to be carefully inspected to evaluate if they are realistic (--> literature comparison, expert knowledge)
- \rightarrow This methodology can be used also in the other sea basins



Shortcomings:

- Need of "coastal waters" shapefiles for all countries (official layers)
- Need to easily filter coastal data to support data QC
- Continuum in inland transitional coastal open waters (ranges calculated using <u>official artificial limits</u>)

Next steps:

- Analysis also on transitional waters and on further parameters (ongoing)
- Implement into data QC process: proposal to filter different water types:
 - \circ tag in the metadata (CDI) (quick filtering on metadata)
 - \circ provide shape files in ODV (filter on the data collections)
- Expert knowledge always required for coastal and transitional areas (not only automatic QC!)



Thanks for your attention!

This study contributes to activities relatated to environmental data validation, required to provide data and information to support good environemntal status assessment, biodiversity conservation and maritime spatial planning, in the framework of PNNR National Biodiversity Future Center.

Funder: Project funded under the **National Recovery and Resilience Plan** (NRRP), Mission 4 Component 2 Investment 1.4 - Call for tender No. 3138 of 16 December 2021, rectified by Decree n.3175 of 18 December 2021 of Italian Ministry of University and Research funded by the European Union – NextGenerationEU;

Award Number: Project code CN_00000033, Concession Decree No. 1034 of 17 June 2022 adopted by the Italian Ministry of University and Research, CUP F83B22000050001; Project title "National Biodiversity Future Center - NBFC".

Data used were generated in the framework of EMODnet Chemistry, under the Service Contract no. "EASME/EMFF/2020/3.1.11/Lot5/SI2.846161".







Broad ranges for quality control of coastal data related to marine eutrophication: the Mediterranean Sea case study