

# **Mare Gaps Challenge: Oil platform leak: South Arne (rehearsal) Preliminary assessment**

J.T. van der Wal & P. de Vries

Preliminary assessment report



# IMARES Wageningen UR

(IMARES - Institute for Marine Resources & Ecosystem Studies)

Client:

DG-Mare  
Brussels, Belgium

Publication date:

29-07-2014



## Contents

Contents.....	3
Summary .....	4
1. Introduction.....	5
2. Challenge description .....	5
3. Method and assumptions.....	5
4. Input .....	5
4.1. Release information .....	6
4.2. Environmental parameters .....	6
4.3. Physical model parameters.....	6
4.4. Gnome model runs.....	6
5. Results.....	7
5.1. Threatened location.....	8
5.2. Response options.....	8
5.3. Graphical output.....	10
6. Conclusion .....	13
Quality Assurance .....	14
References.....	15

## **Summary**

This brief report is an initial assessment –made within 24 hours of receiving the notice of a (simulated) oil spill accident.

Please note that it has not been QA-controlled. For the purpose of the simulated oil spill the timeliness of the response needs to prevail.

## 1. Introduction

This document is part of a project for the European Commission Directorate-General for Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (DG MARE): "Growth and innovation and ocean economy – gaps and priorities in sea basin observation and data", work package 4: "Challenge 3: Oil Platform leak". It covers the Greater North Sea, including the Kattegat and the English Channel.

The challenge will deliver:

- A preliminary assessment, providing a document within 24 hours describing a first indication of impact (underlying document);
- Complete impact assessment, providing a document within 72 hours describing a refined impact assessment;
- Main assessment, providing an understanding of whether suitable datasets are available to provide input for emergency response to pollution incidents, including limitations of severe time pressures.

The main focus of this first assessment is to answer:

- Is there a threat to coastal habitat, species or tourist beaches and if so;
- A first indication of the locations that are most likely to be under threat.

## 2. Challenge description

This section describes the information of the oil spill which IMARES received.

Date: 28-07-2014

Time: 08:00 #

Location: South Arne platform #

Oil type: light crude oil (very similar to Ekofisk blend) #

Oil volume released: approx. 1918 m<sup>3</sup>/day #

Still leaking?: Possibly, not specified in initial notice.

Initial notice of the incident was received by e-mail and contained the following information and question: "The South Arne platform in Danish waters has had a major disaster. Terrorists have taken control of the rig and are diverting the production into the sea. Can you find out where the oil goes and what impact it has on human activity and the ecosystem?"

Further information was needed to assess the situation for each of the items marked with #. More on this in a later section.

## 3. Method and assumptions

The methodology chosen for modelling the oil spill trajectory is the GNOME model from NOAA (REF). To assess the risk to the ecosystem as well as human activities, a post-analysis in GIS (ArcGIS) is done. For the post-analysis modelled results from GNOME are saved and converted for use in the GIS.

Both parts of the system have been tested and preset/-loaded with data to aid in giving a quick response.

## 4. Input

This section describes the data used as input for the challenge.

Distinguish between data delivered (i.e. provided by DG-MARE), data extracted (i.e. retrieved from (online) databases), data analysed (i.e. processed to fit the model requirements) and data assumptions.

#### 4.1.Release information

The location of the South Arne platform (a production platform in the western part of the Danish sector of the North Sea) was retrieved from available GIS-datasets.

WGS84 UTM 31	Easting	576574.698984	Northing	6215535.853003	(meters)
WGS84 Geogr	Longitude	4.230333	Latitude	56.078833	(decimal degrees)
		4 13.81998		56 4.72998	(degrees minutes)
		4 13 49.1099		56 4 43.7988	(deg min sec)

Water depth at the location is about 60 m.

Spill volume was determined based on a recent report on Oil and Gas Production in Denmark (2013), where a total production volume of 700.000 m<sup>3</sup> is given for the South Arne location. This translates to a (mean) daily production volume of 1918 m<sup>3</sup>.

The platform also produces gas, which is not stated as being released to the environment. For the purpose of this exercise an assumption has been made that no gas is being released.

The potential exists for a much larger release of oil as the South Arne platform contains an 87.000 m<sup>3</sup> storage tank (which is emptied into a tanker once full). The supposed terrorist could find a method of releasing this oil into the environment, adding volume to the spill. If they devise a means of pumping this oil out the off-loading pipeline, they could create a second spill location at the end of this 2 km long pipeline.

From the internet information on the type of oil produced from the South Arne field was gathered. As it comes from the same/similar formation as the Ekofisk and Tor fields in Norway, and is close in the characteristics found for South Arne and Ekofisk blend crudes, the released oil can be characterised as a light crude.

#### 4.2.Environmental parameters

Winds and currents were retrieved from MyOcean.eu and from GlobalMarineNet.com (predicted winds only). And prepared for use with GNOME using prepared R-scripts.

#### 4.3.Physical model parameters

GNOME only allows for a few basis choices for specifying the type of oil being released. For the modelling of the trajectory of the spilled oil the available 'medium crude oil' was selected. This is a heavier oil that does float on water, but has a lower content of components that easily evaporate. The modelled amount of oil remaining on the water will therefore be an overestimate. In reality the remaining amount of oil will be smaller.

#### 4.4.Gnome model runs

The GNOME model was run with four scenarios.

##### Run 01:

Start of release: 2014-07-28 08:00

Duration: 1 day

Amount: 1918 m<sup>3</sup>

Oil type chosen: Medium Crude (actual oil is closer to a light crude).

Floating after 5 days: 1088 m<sup>3</sup> (56.7%)

Evaporated and dispersed: 830 m<sup>3</sup> (43.3%)

No oil beached or off of map.

Spill moves slowly east and somewhat northwards. As of yet it does not appear to pose an immediate problem to beaches (whether for nature conservation issues or human activities). Also other land-based human/economic activities are not under immediate threat.

#### **Run 02: (used for GIS-based post-analysis)**

As above with updated data for currents (edited motu-client cmd-file for automated download, to match with available data from website. http-download failed on apparently too large of a file size).

Results and mass balance are essentially the same.

Floating 1059 m<sup>3</sup> (55.2%), Evaporated/dispersed 859 m<sup>3</sup> (44.6%) no oil beach or off map.

Based on the trajectory of the spill thus far it may reach land either in Northern Jutland or Southern Norway. However locations in the Skagerrak are all potentially under threat, as could the Norwegian coast be up to and including the area around Stavanger.

Difficulty presently is that the forecast for both currents and winds do not extend far enough into the future to calculate a more precise location for a potential landfall. This could be overcome by e.g. continuing with 'average' currents (based on moon phases etc) and climatologically prevailing winds (e.g. decadal data). Such extension are, however, not feasibly within the current time frame (as well as budget and man power).

It should also be noted that the minimum regret splots are much more widely dispersed than the most likely trajectory, but also none of those have reached a shore yet (within GNOME).

Output as QT-movie from GNOME to illustrate the scenario.

#### **Run 03:**

Derived from Run 3, but with the spill continuing for 3 days (72 hours). Done to assess the case where the spill is allowed to continue for a longer time.

Output as QT-movie from GNOME to illustrate the scenario.

#### **Run 00:**

Derived from Run 2, but with a spill start point on the morning of Thursday 24 July. Done for two reasons, both 'what-if'.

What if.. the rehearsal (or our supposed terrorist attack on South Arne) was started on its original day.

What if.. the slick is allowed to move longer (232 hours instead of 136 h), where would it have gone?

As it turns out it takes an initial route to the west (forced by strong Easterly winds), then gets pushed south a bit, but then move in to a similar area and trajectory as run 2. The excursion west and south could very well have taken the slick into German waters and also into their Natura 2000 area on the Dogger Bank. Potentially also the Dutch N2k area could have been affected.

Output as QT-movie from GNOME to illustrate the scenario.

## **5. Results**

The modelling results from GNOME show the for the time frame for which the model can presently be run (up Saturday 02 Aug 24:00) with forecasted currents and winds, the trajectory of oil slick according to the GNOME best guess result do not take the spill in to particularly problematic areas.

These GNOME results have been documented by providing them as movies (QuickTime). In these movies the 'best guess' modelling results are shown as black dots, 'minimum regret' results are shown as red dots.

Run 02, shows how a spill of 1918 m<sup>3</sup> moves east then north across the Danish sector. For this run a more detailed assessment of the potential for impact will be given later in this section.

Run 03, shows what happens if the spill is allowed to continue for about three days.

Run 00, was performed mainly to see where the oil spill might go if it were allowed to continue for a longer time. As we have no more forecast data available to take the model results further into the future, this longer time frame was achieved by moving the start of the spill forward to Thursday 24 July, adding 4 days to this simulation.

The post-analysis in GIS shows that the slick will cross an area that is heavily trafficked by merchant vessels, though not formally a shipping route, while sailing between Dutch harbours and Norway (or further). By the end of the simulation the trajectory comes close to a similar area used by shipping sailing between German harbours and Norway (or further).

No Natura 2000 or other marine protected areas are hit by the trajectory between the start of the simulation on Monday 28 July 08:00 and the end of the simulation on Saturday 02 Aug 24:00. The end time is as far as currently available forecasts have allowed us to take the simulations into the future.

There is bound to be fishing activity in the area of the oil trajectory. Though currently it does not appear to be especially problematic to fisheries. It is not hitting major fishing grounds.

The trajectory does go near other offshore oil and gas infrastructure in the Danish sector, a.o. platforms on the Valdemar and Tyra fields. The proximity of an oil slick may be disruptive to the continued production on these locations.

The currently analysed trajectory is for just one single day's worth of oil production being released from South Arne. A longer duration of the spill will result in a larger slick and more sea area being soiled. The slick covers an area of around 260 km<sup>2</sup> by the end of the simulation on Saturday 02 Aug. Such a large area does mean that although outside of nature conservation areas, there is at least some risk to wildlife. In this case the main risk is to sea birds and sea mammals (a.o. harbour porpoise).

### **5.1. Threatened location**

Include an indication of the type of the threatened location as either coastal habitat or species on the one hand or tourist beach on the other hand.

- Provide an indication of the locations that are most likely to be under threat
  - Most threatened at this time are other offshore platforms on the Valdemar and Tyra fields.
  - Some threat may exist to ships travelling in the area, including fishing as well as merchant vessels.
- Are there any protected areas threatened?
  - No marine protected areas are threatened.
- Are there any tourist beaches threatened?
  - No tourist beaches are threatened

### **5.2. Response options**

Tentatively the best response options for the next few days is to 'sit back and wait'. The main proviso here is that the spill is contained as soon as possible. It seems advisable to consider deploying vessels to the area to either contain and/or remove the oil from the sea surface. Use of dispersants does not seem advisable at this point, but should be reconsidered if concentrations of sea birds or marine mammals are spotted.



Doing nothing at all may be the best solution, as the oil will weather naturally while travelling. When in some more days time bits of the slick do start to get to beaches and shores, with some luck it will have compacted to chunks can be handled by machinery for removing debris and waste from sandy beaches. This may not be wise if the slick is heading for rocky shores such as in Norway.

Diverting shipping away from the location is advisable in the meantime.

### 5.3. Graphical output




Figure 1 Map of oil spill trajectory after 24 hours (Tue 29 Jul 12:00)




Figure 2 Map of oil spill trajectory after 136 hours (Sat 02 Aug 24:00)

In Figure 2 the green splots of evaporated oil are shown to indicate the path taken by the oil slick towards is end location.




Figure 3 Map of oil spill trajectory for all 136 hour of simulation: Mon 28 Jul 08:00 thru Sat 02 Aug 24:00

In Figure 3 as well as the previous figures the shipping routes are –unfortunately- not shown. They were causing the output to be uninformative (malfunction in producing the graphics files).

## 6. Conclusion

Based on this first preliminary assessment it is likely that:

- There ~~is~~/is not a threat to coastal habitat/species;
- There ~~is~~/is not a threat to tourist beaches;
- The following locations are threatened:
  - Some platforms on the Valdemar and Tyra fields in Denmark
  - De-facto shipping route NL-NO
  - Sea birds and marine mammals in the path of the oil spill.

The following has been identified as a first indication of data gaps limiting the preliminary assessment:

- No severe limitations to the availability of data was noted.

The following questions remain regarding the next assessment (due in roughly 48 hours, around 72 hours after the start of the incident):

- For how long is the spill going to last?  
(Has the Danish Navy apprehended the culprits and closed the valves by now?)  
(Is there damage to the platform that needs repairing? If so how severe?)
- South Arne has a 87000 m<sup>3</sup> storage tank, that could be full of oil. What is happening with that?

## **Quality Assurance**

IMARES utilises an ISO 9001:2008 certified quality management system (certificate number: 124296-2012-AQ-NLD-RvA). This certificate is valid until 15 December 2015. The organisation has been certified since 27 February 2001. The certification was issued by DNV Certification B.V. Furthermore, the chemical laboratory of the Fish Division has NEN-EN-ISO/IEC 17025:2005 accreditation for test laboratories with number L097. This accreditation is valid until 1th of April 2017 and was first issued on 27 March 1997. Accreditation was granted by the Council for Accreditation.

Note that this document is not an official IMARES report; the scientific quality of this report has not been peer reviewed.

## References

To be added (in the final report).